	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/824,746	MURAKAMI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kambiz Zand	2132
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_
(1) Kambiz Zand.	(3)	
(2) Gary Jacobs.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 6 September 2005	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 12 and 14 Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
1/2/7		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/A	Applicant's Representative Sig	 gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: It was agreed that claims 12 and 14 be amended by examiner's amendment by adding the phrase "in a computer readable medium" after the phrase "program" on line 1 of the claim 12; and deleting the phrase "embodied in a propagating wave" from line 1 of the claim 14 in order to avoid 101 issue..